UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,365	05/26/2006	Helge Huebner	095309.56336US 6039		
23911- CDOWELL %	7590 07/09/2007 MODING LLD		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			MCCREARY, LEONARD		
P.O. BOX 1439	00 N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WHOIM (3101), BO 20011 1300			3616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2007	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/538,365	HUEBNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leonard J. McCreary, Jr.	3616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .				
,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers		· y-			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 June 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	i⊠ accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/13/05</u> .	6)				

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. The phrases "the present invention is based on the object..." and "for this purpose" should be deleted.

Art Unit: 3616

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be written as a single paragraph and a figure should not be named. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The claims are objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses.

The claims are objected to because of the following informalities: Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 11-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. Claim 11, recites "the magnitude of the cross section of the overflow

Art Unit: 3616

line and the magnitude of the run-off opening are adapted to one another, which lacks a structural relationship.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 7-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6361071 to Denz et al. Denz discloses a side airbag comprising the following:
 - a. A gas bag for a lateral collision protection device comprising: at least one protection chamber 30 which serves to cushion a vehicle occupant in a filled state, an overflow chamber 32 which is connected to the protection chamber via an overflow line 36, and a run-off opening 68 is provided in the overflow chamber, wherein the gas which is situated in the gas bag flows from the protection chamber via the overflow line into the overflow chamber and leaves the gas bag there via the run-off opening (fig 10) (clm 7).
 - b. The gas bag as claimed in claim 7, wherein the gas bag comprises coated and/or laminated woven fabric (col 2, lin 50-60) (clm 8).

Art Unit: 3616

- c. The gas bag as claimed in claim 7, wherein the gas bag has a plurality of overflow chambers 32, 38, 42 (fig 10) (clm 9).
- d. The gas bag as claimed in claim 7, wherein the overflow chamber is connected to the protection chamber via a plurality of overflow lines (col 1, lin 45-46) (clm 10).
- e. The gas bag as claimed in claim 9, wherein the magnitude of the cross section of the overflow line and the magnitude of the run-off opening are adapted to one another (fig 10) (clm 11).
- f. The gas bag as claimed in claim 11, wherein the cross-section of the overflow line is approximately the same as the cross-section of the run-off opening (fig 11) (clm 12).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard J. McCreary, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-8766. The examiner can normally be reached on 0700-1700 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leonard J. McCreary, Jr.

Examiner Art Unit 3616

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600